

**Architectural Review Board
City of Petersburg, Virginia**

Minutes of the Regular Meeting
August 10, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.
Multipurpose Room, Petersburg Public Library

Members Present:

Chair, Larry Murphy
Vice-Chair, Joe Battiston
Celeste Wynn
Bill Hartsock
Dino Lunsford
Louis Malon

Members Absent:

Terry Ammons

Staff:

Secretary to the ARB, Kate Sangregorio

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Larry Murphy called to order a regular meeting of the City of Petersburg Architectural Review Board on Wednesday, August 10, 2022, at 6:00 p.m. in the Multipurpose Room of the Petersburg Public Library.

2. THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge of allegiance was dispensed of as there was no flag present.

3. REVIEW OF MINUTES

Minutes from the July 2022 regular ARB meeting were presented. Ms. Wynn motioned to approve the minutes as presented. Mr. Battiston seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Lunsford motioned to approve the agenda as presented, with a second by Mr. Malon. The motion passed unanimously.

5. PUBLIC INFORMATION PERIOD

Mr. Murphy opened the Public Information Period to anyone who wished to speak on any subject not on the agenda.

With there being no public comments, Mr. Murphy closed the Public Information Period.

6. REQUEST(S) FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS

6a. 32 E. Washington St.

Applicant representative present, Todd for Powerhouse Retail Services. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Murphy asked if the applicant would consider using plantings. The applicant said yes. The ARB suggested that staff review plantings later. Mr. Battiston confirmed that there would be room left in the lot for parking spaces.

Mr. Murphy opened the floor for anyone to speak for or against the application. With there being no comments, Chair Murphy closed the public comment period.

Mr. Malon motioned to approve the application based on the Design Guidelines Chapter 8, Section B, with the request to add landscaping which would be administratively approved by staff. Ms. Wynn seconded the application and it passed unanimously.

6b. 217 and 219 Henry Street

Applicant present, Chris Sullivan. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Sullivan explained that the new rear decks would be open rather than enclosed like the previous ones.

Mr. Murphy opened the floor for anyone to speak for or against the application. With there being no comments, Chair Murphy closed the public comment period.

Mr. Hartsock motioned to approve the application as submitted, based on the Design Guidelines Chapter 7 Section R, with a second from Mr. Malon. The motion passed unanimously and the application was approved

6c. 634 S. Sycamore Street

Applicants present, Ikponmwosa Oronsaye and April Browne-Oronsaye. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Battiston asked the current roof covering on the rear section of the house, which was a low pitch covered in tin. Mr. Battiston suggested verification for if the roof could be seen from public right of way. Mr. Battiston also said that any new windows in re-opened locations should match the size, configuration, and material of the existing windows if the originals are missing.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Mr. Battiston to approve the application based on the Design Guidelines Chapter 5 Section F and Chapter 4 Section C, with the stipulation that staff be informed of materials and if anything more than repair of windows is needed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lunsford and passed unanimously.

6d. 315 Webster Street

Applicants present, Jacob Kellam and Everson Nevers. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Malon asked if the columns would be painted. It was noted that the remaining wooden, round, and probably original columns had been removed. Before the porch was removed, there were 3 of the original columns and 3 replacement iron columns. Mr. Murphy asked if all the new columns could be round. Mr. Kellam agreed but said they would be hard to get. Mr. Lunsford made a suggestion on where they might be found. Mr. Hartsock agreed round columns would be more appropriate for the area.

There was no public comment.

Mr. Lunsford motioned to approve the application as submitted based on the Design Guidelines Chapter 4 Section B. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hartsock and passed unanimously.

6e. 29 W. Tabb Street – Application for a Historic Building Plaque

Applicant not present. Staff recommended approval.

The ARB had no questions.

Mr. Murphy opened the floor for anyone to speak for or against the application.

There was no public comment.

Ms. Wynn motioned to approve the application for a Historic Building Plaque. The motion was seconded by Mr. Malon and passed unanimously.

7. OLD BUSINESS

8. NEW BUSINESS

8h. 319 High Street, Administrative Approval

Mr. Battiston asked if there was still a rule in the city about planting two trees when one was removed. Staff was uncertain.

8i. National Register of Historic Places Nomination for the Jarratt House

Staff explained that the ARB had an opportunity to express support or opposition or provide any comments to DHR regarding the nomination.

The ARB was supportive of the nomination

9. WORK SESSION

Procedure for the Public Information Period

Staff recommended a five-minute time limit for persons wishing to speak during the Public Information Period. The ARB agreed, noting that City Council limits public comments to three minutes.

10. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Ms. Wynn to adjourn the meeting, with a second from Mr. Hartsock. The motion passed unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned.